

Case 2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 2 of 36 PageID #:

Case	2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 3 of 36 PageID #: 28857
g. 0	
6-	Initial investment siven uf a writer agreement Romisory Noter issued A to Diamante
	Blok to be invested by (KJ)
	# From Baja levelopment Corp. B - from initial le investors = (E)
	then to Baja Mingmit - Diamante Del Mer ED-b/c PPM Said that (KD needed to contribute
Bates	= Source of Allok from BD (off - from initial investors 4
Bates	(ED) all the funds were Not used for DDM
Bates	(KT) founding offering Not consumated
Bates=	# 13234 -(FJ) - \$350 K \$200K - +=(FJ) \$ (50K - += (BN)
	-don't occall how much paid (PE)

Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 4 of 36 PageID #:

Case 2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 5 of 36 PageID #:

Case 2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 7 of 36 PageID #:

Case 2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 8 of 36 PageID #:

Case 2	2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 9 of 36 PageID #: 28863
es n _{es}	
Bates 7	13913
2	(3)- \$210k collected from Empling members - Used (or expenses for DDM
=	(KJ) wasn't trust set up initially - not sure
Special policy of the best copy of submitted property and the second policy of the second pol	
	Founder Momber Offering
(K)	never closed
~	Break - 12PM
5	tart 1:10PM
Management of Applicate Line content Champion and Application of Street Services and A	attribute
JP	D- attry -advice - for 1PM
a at a state of the state of th	(KJ) - was my when to so to attay (in 1PM
(k?)	- regularly consulted whathy
	Minor of de la could la la could
	Nijam- attny also (ET) cossulted w/ (IN) also
	BW) - went of (EI) attay's a/50
2	(A)

Cas	28865
	Jue Juneau - Sept. 02 - Funds raid
e P	Asreement = 3/03
Section 1. Section 1. Co. 1 (construction)	
	Jusan Wasley ~
- 7	Jusan Wasley ~ Note
	Agreement
	Owen Nolan
	not e
-	Agreement
S-2	
\sim	
\$	Bank Acets
	+ 2002 - First Union of Wechovic - () forsonal acct
	- Now Capital One + TD Bank Worth
×	> last 3 yes opened
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
	- DDM- & from (ET)
	02 - (KJ) & used as downpayment from investor &
	OR OB THE TOTAL OF
gev501	- rout + car - & used from BD Corp acct
<u> </u>	not part of DDM
8 5 M F WE -	(KD) (+ + -1/1 / + - M / / / /
	(FD- if I wasn't allowed to use of them it was a (1)
	**

Case 2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 12 of 36 PageID #:

Existich was never regaid for the 8/62 Aziolc 8 that went to Exters (D-believed Exters for stadevologer project - TLJ Management - (L) owns - CA corp - CA corp - Cat co		
TLI Management - KD owns - CAT corp Colmed for = kross Musse in CSZ & airflang - falcon 10 At course from DD - that come from CD and/or it's entities More acknowledged loaned funds from Kenner (cash and wires personally) and Hawaii LLCs Pefoe Allison) were (the clients of time of nugliment with no longer Little Isle II = Lehman Granerod = Mosoil Blatti is devoluted to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans - despite his contrainan defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) - now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts The Isle Isle It to DDM RD-Little Isle is (the Bompany DDM needed It It's treated as loan or contented to courts		
TLI Management - KD owns - CAT corp Colmed for = kross Musse in CSZ & airflang - falcon 10 At course from DD - that come from CD and/or it's entities More acknowledged loaned funds from Kenner (cash and wires personally) and Hawaii LLCs Pefoe Allison) were (the clients of time of nugliment with no longer Little Isle II = Lehman Granerod = Mosoil Blatti is devoluted to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans - despite his contrainan defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) - now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts The Isle Isle It to DDM RD-Little Isle is (the Bompany DDM needed It It's treated as loan or contented to courts		
TLI Management - KD owns - CAT corp Colmed for = kross Musse in CSZ & airflang - falcon 10 At course from DD - that come from CD and/or it's entities More acknowledged loaned funds from Kenner (cash and wires personally) and Hawaii LLCs Pefoe Allison) were (the clients of time of nugliment with no longer Little Isle II = Lehman Granerod = Mosoil Blatti is devoluted to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans - despite his contrainan defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) - now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts The Isle Isle It to DDM RD-Little Isle is (the Bompany DDM needed It It's treated as loan or contented to courts		
Test Management - KJ owns - CA corp - Come from BD - that come from CE and/or its entities More acknowledged loaned funds from Kenner (cash and wires personally) and Hawaii LLCs - lefee Allison I were (the clients of time of nugitiment) but no longer Little Isle II = Cohman Chanced of Meson Bhatti - Mayor in excession of the BI that he took money from Hawaii as loans - despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts By loan or converted to courts RD- Little Isle is (the Sompany DDM needed to the source of the courts All 2009 or converted to co		
Test Management - KJ owns - CA corp - Come from BD - that come from CE and/or its entities More acknowledged loaned funds from Kenner (cash and wires personally) and Hawaii LLCs - lefee Allison I were (the clients of time of nugitiment) but no longer Little Isle II = Cohman Chanced of Meson Bhatti - Mayor in excession of the BI that he took money from Hawaii as loans - despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts By loan or converted to courts RD- Little Isle is (the Sompany DDM needed to the source of the courts All 2009 or converted to co	(CD) - believed Entora part efadevologer posject	
County for = lease Musse in CSL & ailflane - falcon 10 At County from DD - that county from (ID) and with the sent tipes More acknowledged loaned funds from Kenner (cash and wires personally) and Hawaii LLCs - lefoe Allison 3 were (At) Clent's o time of investment to longer Little Isle II = Lehman Chanserd o Mossed Dhatti of developer soil that he took money from Hawaii as loans - despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) - now with the FBI ware of the frauds on the courts Ethe Isle & to DDM RD- Little Isle is (AD Bompany DDM needed & Tist treated as loan or converted to causta Miscona case)		
County for = lease Musse in CSL & ailflane - falcon 10 At County from DD - that county from (ID) and with the sent tipes More acknowledged loaned funds from Kenner (cash and wires personally) and Hawaii LLCs - lefoe Allison 3 were (At) Clent's o time of investment to longer Little Isle II = Lehman Chanserd o Mossed Dhatti of developer soil that he took money from Hawaii as loans - despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) - now with the FBI ware of the frauds on the courts Ethe Isle & to DDM RD- Little Isle is (AD Bompany DDM needed & Tist treated as loan or converted to causta Miscona case)	-TLJ Management - KID augs	E 0
More acknowledged loaned funds from Kenner (cash and wires personally) and Hawaii LLCs -lefoe Allison I were the clients of time of nugetment but no longer Little Isle II = Chman Chanced of Meson Bhatti, which is a developer assis 2006 (B) gave 5% of 50% To KD+ (D) PAlaha Venta Jowdy admits to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts RD-Little Isle is (B) Bompany DDM needed to the streated as loan of converted to require a Mizona case.	-CA COIP	2
More acknowledged loaned funds from Kenner (cash and wires personally) and Hawaii LLCs - lefoe Allison I were the clients of time of investment but no longer Little Isle II = Lehman Planced = Meson Blatti = blayati = devotyper_sis Note that I went to Jowdy admits to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans - despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts = Little Isle It to DDM RD- Little Isle is (R) Bompany DDM needed It It is treated as loan as convented to results - Majzona case.	Corned for = lease house in CSZ + airplane - tale	on 10
More acknowledged loaned funds from Kenner (cash and wires personally) and Hawaii LLCs - lefoe Allison I were the clients of time of investment but no longer Little Isle II = Lehman Planced = Meson Blatti = blayati = devotyper_sis Note that I went to Jowdy admits to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans - despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts = Little Isle It to DDM RD- Little Isle is (R) Bompany DDM needed It It is treated as loan as convented to results - Majzona case.	At Come for BD - +1 + o C (PD -	11.
More acknowledged loaned funds from Kenner (cash and wires personally) and Hawaii LLCs - lefoe Allison I were the clients of time of investment but no longer Little Isle II = Lehman Planced = Meson Blatti = blayati = devotyper_sis Note that I went to Jowdy admits to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans - despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts = Little Isle It to DDM RD- Little Isle is (R) Bompany DDM needed It It is treated as loan as convented to results - Majzona case.	its fint is:	er / Cus
personally) and Hawaii LLCs - lefoe Allison I were (the clients of time of nugriment but no longer Little Isle II = Lihman Pinanced = Meson Bhatti standard in a developer spin (the gave 570 of 50% To KJA DN) Phalaby Vento Jowdy admits to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts Little Isle to John RD- Little Isle is (the Bompany DDM needed to tist cested as loan as converted to courts		
Little Isle II = Cehrnan Pinanced & Mesond Bhatti = developer six. 2006 Pague 570 of 50% To Little BND WAlaha Venta Jowdy admits to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts Elittle Isle # to DDM RD-Little Isle is (#) Bompany DDM needed # # 15 treated 45 loan or converted to courts Mizona case		
Little Isle II = Lehman Cinanced = Mesoul Bhatti Todo Gave 570 of 50% To LITTE BN WAlaha Venta Jowdy admits to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts Elittle Isle to DDM RD-Little Isle is (B) Sampany DDM needed to Joan or converted to equita - Mizona case.		
Little Isle II = Lehman Pranced = Mesond Bhatt; Standt is a developer-soi. 2006 To Little Isle is (It) Bompany RD-Little Isle is (It) Bompany Tist treated as loan or converted to courts The sould bhatting of the sould be soil bhatting of the soil bhatti	Allison 3 were (t) clients o time of investor	ien t
2006 (R) gave 570 of 50% To KJA BN N/Alahy Vento Jowdy admits to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts Elitle Isle & to DDM RD- Little Isle is (R) Sompany DDM needed & # 15 treated 65 loan 65 converted to equity - Mizona case.	1	4 t
2006 (R) gave 570 of 50% To KJA BN N/Alahy Vento Jowdy admits to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts Elitle Isle & to DDM RD- Little Isle is (R) Sompany DDM needed & # 15 treated 65 loan 65 converted to equity - Mizona case.		(1
2006 (R) gave 570 of 50% To KJA BN N/Alahy Vento Jowdy admits to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts Elitle Isle & to DDM RD- Little Isle is (R) Sompany DDM needed & # 15 treated 65 loan 65 converted to equity - Mizona case.	Little Iste II = Cehman Pinanced = Masoud Bhatti	125-501
Alaha Venta Jowdy admits to the FBI that he took money from Hawaii as loans despite his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts Elitle Isle & to DDM RD- Little Isle is (AB Bompany DDM needed & DDM	-(P) gave 5% of 50% TO (F)+(BN)	
his contrarian defense positions in Mexico and AZ cases (2008-09) now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts ELitle Isle is (fb) Sompany Dom needed to Treated as loan as converted to equity — Mizona cases	WAlaha Venta Jowdy admits to the FBI that he too	
now with the FBI aware of the frauds on the courts ELittle Isle & to DDM RD-Little Isle is (B) Bompany DDM needed & Distinguity Asizona case.	his contrarian defense positions in	pite
ED- Little Isle is (A) Bompany D) DM needed # # is treated as loan or converted to equita - Mizona case.		ds
# D- Little Isle is (# Sompany A Musut. D) DM needed # # is treated as loan or converted to equita - Mizona case.	on the courts	
to streated as loan or converted to equita - Mizona case.		ult
Make Corp.		
Agusty (anverted to equity 1 across cest V	Never converted to equity Matexico	CESP V

Case 2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 28868 File Jowdy paid to dissuade from testifying in Federal Case v. Roger Clemens See Baja Development Corp (Jowdy) August	
2006 bank records et.al.	
- Brian Mc Namee hés a trainer - 1999= CD - met through Roger Clemens	
has not had contact since 2007	
- worked out u/ (BM)	
- brought to CSL - Several times - up 2007	
- a time use on to put together fitness program Money from PK (personally) and players	
- to train & not w/ (1)'s relative = Ed Essa	
DOM- owed BD over \$2M = from players \$ PR	1
(J-1) edging of Genisory Notes = to (Dun) Be investors -> BM PPM Baje Management (Owns 927; at DDM) BEITSM	L
Met Mindy McCvendy through Roger Clemens By Kla Jowdy STOLE DDM funds to pay off Roger	
Clemens mistress before she died Roger Clemens GSK (F) to write help - to mske	
Since the 2015 conviction Galioto has used every opportunity t	<u> </u>
tell the Kenner investors that Kenner has NO MONEY in any investment despite the Jowdy confessions (within) and the millions documented in the numerous bank records for Hawaii,	
Mexico, all renovation projects, "Grocery list loans", Gaarn loans, Kaiser loans, etcetera creating fully slanderous prejudice from remaining supporters culminating in the Jowdy settlement discussions with no faith in the real Kenner documented truths.	all
2007 D met her out of RO @ a bar - Vegas	•••

Case 2:	:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 15 of 36 PageID #: 28869
AM	vanted a job
	(5) - had her Gill out application
	f
*	1eft company after Lehmon Bantruptery
	ED- paid through DKSL acet
	acct for he expenses - Jowdy, Najam and Essa looted
	Jowdy, Najam and Essa looted
	over \$800k of the loan funds personally and drained the entire
	loan amount within 2 months UNKNOWN to ALL of the investors
1	KSI Capital- hard of lender
	10an for DDM - 2006 =
	#3M total
*	
	\$217K holdback
	- used # for exponses on DDM project = #38k to 841k
6	\$ Firm Legacy Noperties ports for KSI interest; security I guard
	ports for KSI interest; security/guard
Managery () (2,3) () () () () () () () () () (
_	
	(i5)

Case	2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 16 of 36 PageID #: 28870
#	
	- Was people down to CSL
	- rives
	+114/18
	- Shawn Hughes would ling friends = 6-8 friends
2	
195hown	e-mail - 1/24/05
·	-Palm Springs - Bob Hope Townament
Section 1914 Property Line Deposit Vision II Dep	pay-maybe flight = use (valit (and from to pay
	pay- maybe flight = use Cralit (and
	(5) - not sure when I come from to pay
	for flight
57	te-mail = 1/24/05
4	-not sure
8 0	
**	- email =
	1944/ty = KD fun, outsoing, siets
	-just faid En Flights; stayed @ horse in CSC
	B-doesn't know of anyone paying for Sex
Bate	# 19676 - 79
	D-doesn't recall exact email
·	- Players Clotes
	- Players Clotes -(ET) doesn't know how set up

Case 2	:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 17 of 36 PageID #: 28871
10 ₃₂	
y .	Q-line of CSI classic
	10-flosure of CSZ closing
$\frac{1}{2}$	19,74 3
Bates	19674-75
	- don't know what @ talking about
1) 3) 4)	- Ob news to pake justs
	(KD - not involved of flager Wolc
Bat	es# 19714
2	
But	54 19672
email.	= 1-2dy after lunch w/ (MB) - in NYC
	asked (PE) to break down &
2.40	19663
(1418)	
in and a	- KD-did send & out
	D-thought (b) gut & already for Peca, so this & (7)

Case 2	:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 18 of 36 PageID #: 28872
a a	
_	Peca was investing in DCSL CCC
	no sem provided
Manuscript Spiriture State Spiriture	
2005-	(D) futs # Uf 3 50% (D) does work 3 50% 50%
	B) does work) Sfl. +
	5074
./	
4/2007	- 10% dwnpmt due B dishit have to
	Ro dist have to
	I come in
31/	\$ Coming from Oto
	them
9/	05-\$ from flager coming in - Said between PE & plagers (5) thinks \$ coming in from losy from (k)
	(F) thinks It coming in from losy from (PK)
	CO Traines of Co. S. T.
	Attested as love in bosines U. ch. 1+
7	Atrated as loon in bosing; then changed to
Bite	3 1 19621
-	- 10 days lowe closing - PD had already siven
	Greatedown to
e a	19607 (CT) (ET)

Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 19 of 36 PageID #:

Case 2	:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 21 of 36 PageID #: 28875
u a	
Bates	19506
Vales	
-	for Operating Agreements
	-not for financials
DI	198947
Bates	
	doesn't recall email
2	
**	ED- got does to PA for DAir
Batos	(956/
(Ac	- Operating Agreement
	ED that's all we have
	Tim Gaarn - Friend of (PK)
	Tym Gaarn - Friend of (Pk) (E) Met once
+1/08	wentul (to inspect (E) books
001100	
	doesn't know if accountant or in finance industry
	-BD Colf
	RJ did Nice \$25k to Tim Garn
	(KJ) did Nice \$25K to Tim Garn (KJ) did Nice \$25K to Tim Garn (KJ) down + know what for Stephenson (2)

Case	2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 22 of 36 PageID #: 28876
W 18	
	- Mount Zion Joseph Bater - hard & lender - (R) talked to
	-hard & lender - (PK) talked to
×	- (10) engaged Havaii deal
	- Paid & Committement fee
A	also telked about DDM
	1/
	A A
nictures	= Mark Peterson
DICTOM	3 Palms
(23)	proteting film
	1 - 1.1 (01) C. 1 1. 4. 1
	in MA
And the second s	(F) hired how for Oto 47 4551stant hater on myall for 1/2405
	Mik Tames - worked of (K) - pentin lausent
19	
	Gov't aware of "Nick" lawsuit when they falsely claimed
	"Kick Nick in the balls was Nick Privitello on the day of
	Counter sere the Nick James deposition
Leunoth	
Beach,	wells Fargo logn
CH	Wells Fargo - logn
7	Something like #4M profit - fraudulent(?)
	Jowdy and Harvey spread this
	false claim in 2008 to all Kenner
	investors and others in the sports industry to disrupt the
	cohesive efforts versus Jowdy
-	(2)
14	

Revolving line of Credit Loans 12-7-2004

Pursuant to the verbal agreement of July 9, 2004 to secure a revolving Line of Credit for Kenneth Aboud Jowdy to use at his sole discretion, this Revolving Line of Credit will be executed by all related parties 2004.

For VALUE RECEIVED, the undersigned, Kenneth Aboud Jowdy, individual ("Borrower") promises to pay to the order of Philip A. Kenner ("Kenner"), individual and Little Isle IV, LLC a Delaware LLC ("LI4"), ("Lenders"), and any other related individual or entity involved in the future by Kenner to facilitate the ongoing Revolving Line of Credit to Borrower. Lenders have a principle place of business located at 10705 East Cactus Road, Scottsdale, AZ 85259 or at such other place that the Lenders hereof may from time to time designate in writing. Borrower promises to pay Lenders, the aggregate unpaid principle balance of each advance made by Lenders to Borrower hereunder at an interest thereon at the rate of fifteen percent (15%) per annum. Subject to the other terms and conditions hereof, Borrower may borrow, repay and reborrow hereunder until the principle balance and all interest sums payable hereunder are paid in full as follows in lawful money of the United States of America. Lenders have no obligation to refinance or extend the terms of this agreement with Borrower.

- 1) The note shall mature and the entire principle balance hereof is due upon completion of any transaction whereas Borrower facilitates funding for the Real Estate Development known as Diamante Del Mar ("DDM") located in El Rosario, Baja Mexico, of which the Borrower is the Managing Member. The note will be due in full including interest if the Borrower on behalf of DDM receives benefit equal to or in excess of the total outstanding loan amount including interest due from proceeds of the loan thru the funding date to Lenders.
- 2) In the event Borrower fails to pay the entire principle indebtedness evidenced by this Note, or any portion thereof as described by events described above in paragraph 1, the note may be demanded for full payment including all accrued interest.
- 3) In the event the total funding amount for DDM is not sufficient to cover the outstanding funds due to the Lenders, at least 50% of the funds raised will be paid towards the outstanding Principle balance and the interest on the Revolving Line of Credit will continue to accrue until the entire note, principle plus full interest are paid to the Lenders.
- 4) The note shall mature and the entire principle balance hereof is due upon completion of any transaction whereas Borrower facilitates funding for the Real Estate Development known as Diamante Cabo San Lucas ("DCSL") located in Cabo San Lucas, Baja Mexico, of which the Borrower is expected to be the Managing Member. The funding for DCSL is expected to occur per the Borrower on or before December 31, 2005. The note will be due in full including interest if the Borrower on behalf of DCSL receives benefit equal to or in excess of the total outstanding loan amount including interest due from proceeds of the loan thru the funding date to Lenders.
- 5) In the event Borrower fails to pay the entire principle indebtedness evidenced by this Note, or any portion thereof as described by events described above in paragraph 4, the note may be demanded for full payment including all accrued interest.

6) Borrower pledges his individual interest in DDM, DCSL and any related entity controlled by Borrower as additional consideration for the loan presented by Lenders.

Borrower agrees that:

- a) Borrower will pay all costs and expenses of collection of this Note if the note matures and is unpaid within 30 days of maturity upon demand by Lenders.
- b) The entire principle balance of the Note shall bear interest after maturity at the standard interest rate on the entire unpaid balance as described above until paid in full.

Borrower may pay this Note at any time, in whole or in part, without penalty or premium.

Failure of the Lenders hereunder to exercise any option hereunder shall not constitute a waiver of the right to exercise the same in the event of any subsequent default or in the event of continuance of an existing default for strict performance hereof.

Borrower waves any exemption rights affecting full collection of this Note, and waives presentment, diligence, notice of dishonor and protest.

This Note shall be governed by the State of Arizona.

Witness	NX	
<i>b</i> /	W/	14
,		

Borrower:

Kenneth Abgud Jowydy Individual

Ву: ///

LENDERS:

Philip A. Kenner, Little Isle IV, LLC a Delaware LLC

Philip A. Kenner, Individual

Philip A. Kenner, Managing Member, Little Isle IV, LLC a Delaware LLC

Dated: 7-Dec- 2004

Exhibit 1

Case 2:09-cv-00142-SRB Document 91 Filed 03/12/10 Page 1 of 7 NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Little Isle IV, LLC, et al., No. CV09-0142-PHX-SRB Plaintiffs, ORDER VS. Kenneth A. Jowdy, Defendant.

Plaintiffs filed their complaint in state court on October 29, 2008. Among the allegations in the complaint were that the Plaintiff Philip Kenner was a resident of the State of Arizona and that the corporate defendants were Delaware corporations authorized to do business in Arizona. After Defendant waived service of process in late December 2008, he filed a Notice of Removal to this Court claiming diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because he is a citizen of Nevada and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. Defendant also filed a Motion to Dismiss.

The first of several unusual events then occurred. When Plaintiffs responded to the Motion to Dismiss they attached a document purporting to be a promissory note which, as the Court noted in its order granting the Motion to Dismiss, had terms inconsistent with the terms alleged in the Complaint for the alleged loans that were the subject of the suit. Defendant alleged that the note was a forgery and sought expedited discovery concerning the

Case 2:09-cv-00142-SRB Document 91 Filed 03/12/10 Page 2 of 7

document. The Court granted the motion for this limited discovery. Plaintiffs also attempted to save their complaint from dismissal by improperly alleging numerous additional facts in their response to the Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiffs also claimed that they did not have possession of the original note asserting that Defendant was the holder of the original note.

The second unusual event occurred when Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint on May 22, 2009. In the Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs alleged for the first time that Plaintiff Kenner was a citizen, not of Arizona, but of Nevada. Plaintiffs also filed a Motion for Remand to state court arguing that the original complaint did not identify Kenner's citizenship and Defendant, in his original Notice of Removal, wrongly assumed that Kenner's state of residency and state of citizenship were the same. Also asserted as a basis for remand was that Defendant did not meet his burden of establishing that the members of the corporate Plaintiffs, as listed in Plaintiffs' Corporate Disclosure Statement, were citizens of states other than Nevada. Plaintiff ignores the fact that Defendant could not have made that allegation at the time of the removal because Plaintiffs did not file their corporate disclosure statement as required. On January 26, 2009, a Notice of Deficiency was issued to the corporate plaintiffs advising them of the requirement to file a Corporate Disclosure Statement. They were given 15 days to file it. No Corporate Disclosure Statement was filed. The Court then issued an Order to Show Cause on March 31, 2009, advising Plaintiffs that if they failed to file their Corporate Disclosure Statement within 10 business days that sanctions would be imposed. It was only after this Court Order threatening sanctions that the Corporate Disclosure Statement was filed. Shortly thereafter Defendant amended his Notice of Removal to allege the diversity of the members of each corporate defendant.

Because of Plaintiff Kenner's new assertion that he was a citizen of Nevada rather than of Arizona a question arose concerning this Court's subject matter jurisdiction. In response to the Motion for Remand, Defendant challenged the assertion that Kenner was a Nevada citizen and accused Kenner of making this false claim solely to defeat diversity jurisdiction. Defendant requested discovery from Plaintiffs about Kenner's citizenship.

2728

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 2:09-cv-00142-SRB Document 91 Filed 03/12/10 Page 3 of 7

On July 15, 2009, the Court granted Defendant's request for discovery on the jurisdictional question arising from Kenner's claim of Nevada citizenship. The Court included in its order that jurisdictional discovery could include a request for production of documents of Plaintiff Kenner, Kenner's deposition and the depositions of Tracy Kenner and Rebekah Baumgardner. Defendant was ordered to advise the Court in writing concerning the completion of the jurisdictional discovery so that the Court could determine whether an evidentiary hearing was needed and when it could rule on the Motion to Remand. Defendant promptly attempted to complete the authorized discovery.

On October 16, 2009, Defendant filed a Status Report concerning jurisdictional discovery advising the Court that jurisdictional discovery was not yet complete because Kenner had refused to provide a date for the rescheduling of his deposition and had refused to respond to Defendant's inquiries regarding deficiencies in his responses to the Request for Production of Documents. Around the same time Plaintiffs' counsel sought leave to withdraw. In the report to the Court, Defendant advised that Kenner's deposition was originally scheduled for June 15, 2009. On the morning of the deposition Plaintiffs' counsel advised Defendant that Kenner was out of town and would not be attending. Kenner failed to provide any alternate dates for his deposition. It was then noticed by Defendant for July 14, 2009.

Kenner appeared on July 14, 2009, but left before the deposition could be completed claiming he needed to catch a flight. As of October 16, 2009, Kenner had not provided any date to complete his deposition. Defendant re-noticed Kenner's deposition for December 4, 2009 at which time Kenner appeared.

Plaintiffs' counsel's Motion to Withdraw was granted on October 23, 2009. On November 3, 2009, the Court issued an order advising Plaintiffs that there was a pending Motion to Remand filed May 22, 2009 and that the Court had entered an order permitting limited discovery that Defendant could take in connection with that motion. The order summarized the difficulties in completing discovery outlined in the October 16, 2009 report. The Court also noted that Plaintiffs were presently without counsel and advised Plaintiffs that

Case 2:09-cv-00142-SRB Document 91 Filed 03/12/10 Page 4 of 7

Philip Kenner could represent himself but the corporate plaintiffs would be required to be represented by counsel. The Court ordered that Little Isle IV and Ula Makika, LLC's claims would be dismissed if counsel did not make an appearance on their behalf within 30 days of November 2, 2009. The Court also ordered Kenner to advise the Court in writing within 30 days of November 2, 2009, whether he intended to proceed with his case *pro se* and to respond to the allegations made about his failure to make himself available for deposition. Kenner was advised that the case¹ would be dismissed without further notice if Kenner failed to comply with the Court's order.

While a Notice of Appearance was filed by a lawyer on behalf of Philip Kenner, Little Isle IV and Ula Makika on December 3, 2009, no written response to the Court's order was ever filed. On December 15, 2009, the Court dismissed the case in accordance with its November 2, 2009 order for Plaintiffs' failure to comply with that order. Approximately four weeks later, and only after Defendant had noticed the lodging of a form of judgment, Plaintiffs filed the pending motion entitled "Plaintiffs' Motion for New Trial and/or to Alter/Vacate Order Dated December 16, 2009." This Court's December 16, 2009 order was noticed electronically to Plaintiffs' counsel on December 16, 2009 at 10:53 a.m.

Plaintiffs' new counsel argues that the Court should vacate its order of dismissal because Plaintiffs obtained new counsel who filed an appearance within the time specified in the Court's November 2, 2009 order and because Plaintiff Kenner appeared for the continuance of his deposition on December 4, 2009. Counsel then attempts to convince the Court that he acted diligently, by initiating discovery, by noticing Defendant's deposition and by serving a first request for production of documents. Apparently Plaintiffs' counsel has not reviewed the file in this case to note that discovery, except for the limited discovery previously authorized by the Court on the citizenship of Kenner and on the alleged forged note, is not yet authorized to proceed. Plaintiffs' counsel argues that because he has done

¹Kenner is the managing member of both corporate plaintiffs and the sole member of Ula Makika, LLC. Kenner's failures to cooperate with discovery and comply with court orders therefore are also failures of the companies he controls.

Jowdy's confessions of "loans" two weeks after this "with prejudice" dismissal was 100% relevant...and stunned new counsel.

T5

r-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 31 of 36 PageID #: 28885

Case 2:09-cv-00142-SRB Document 91 Filed 03/12/10 Page 5 of 7

more to prosecute Plaintiffs' case within the two weeks prior to the Court's order of dismissal than Plaintiffs' prior attorneys did that dismissal would result in manifest injustice. It is not clear to this Court that Plaintiffs' counsel has any idea that Plaintiffs have challenged this Court's jurisdiction. Counsel also attaches a deposition of over 400 pages taken of Defendant in an unrelated case arguing that if the Court were to review this deposition it would find that Defendant has taken a different position with respect to the money at issue in this case in that unrelated case and that this testimony somehow warrant's reversal of the Court's order of dismissal. The Court has not reviewed the 400 pages deposition and finds it irrelevant to the pending motion.

In response to Plaintiffs' motion, Defendant raises numerous unanswered questions. For example, Plaintiffs' motion states that it is brought under Rule 59 allegedly because Rule 59 is the only Rule that would permit this motion to be timely. Rule 59 motions can be filed 28 days after the entry of the order or judgment from which a new trial is sought. Defendant argues that Plaintiffs' motion is one for reconsideration. A Motion for Reconsideration must be filed 14 days after the order from which reconsideration is sought. Citing the standards for a Motion for Reconsideration, Defendant argues that Plaintiffs have not shown that the Court's order dismissing the action amounted to a clear error of law because the five factors justifying dismissal weigh in Defendant's favor. Defendant's response also notes the unexplained failure of Plaintiff to file any timely Motion for Reconsideration despite receipt of the Court's order until after Defendant lodged a proposed form of judgment 20 days after the order of dismissal was entered. Defendant's response also remarks on the failure of Plaintiffs' motion to address the additional six day delay between the notice of the lodging of the proposed form of judgment and the filing of Plaintiffs' motion.

Despite the fact that Plaintiffs' motion still fails to explain Kenner's past failures to appear at his deposition and comply with document requests, and despite the new and disturbing assertion about Plaintiff's failure to attend his June 15, 2009 deposition Plaintiffs failed to file a reply. The explanation given by Plaintiffs' attorney in a June 15, 2009 e-mail was, "Mr. Kenner is traveling and missed his flight to Phoenix last night and will not be able

The investors were suing Jowdy for the unpaid loans in California along with the Mexico project embezzlements...10 0% related to the exact elements of the case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case 2:09-cv-00142-SRB Document 91 Filed 03/12/10 Page 6 of 7

to appear for his deposition scheduled this morning." Defendant provides evidence that this assertion was false and that Kenner had intentionally travel to Mexico where he gave sworn testimony on June 15 in support of a criminal complaint against Defendant. Robert Gaudet, the witness on the alleged forgery was to be deposed on June 16, 2009. Instead he accompanied Kenner to Mexico and gave sworn testimony on June 16 cancelling his deposition by Defendant. Plaintiff has provided no response to these allegations either in a reply or in response to the Court's November 3 order.

Plaintiffs brought this lawsuit 17 months ago. Four months after removal Kenner suddenly realized that he was not a citizen of Arizona but of Nevada and moved to remand. This case has been pending in this Court for over one year and the jurisdictional issue has not been resolved because of Plaintiffs' obstruction of discovery. Moreover, despite the suspicious facts and circumstances that have been continuously raised by Defendant with respect to this case Plaintiffs have failed to respond. Even with counsel now presently representing Plaintiffs, the motion filed to set aside the December order contains no explanation as required in this Court's November 2, 2009 order only counsel's assertion that, "Mr. Kenner never meant to fail to comply with this Court's order dated November 2, 2009, and believed that by retaining new counsel and appearing for his deposition as scheduled, he would be in compliance with this Court's order of November 2, 2009." This explanation is not credible. The Court has been told of an alleged forgery and a false claim of Nevada citizenship. The Court ordered expedited discovery many months ago and Plaintiffs have delayed and obstructed it. In the light of the assertions in Defendant's response, this Court draws the inference that no reply has been filed and no excuse has been offered for Plaintiffs' delay and failures to comply with discovery because the facts asserted surrounding the cancellation of the deposition are true. Plaintiffs' failures to respond also lend credence to the claims of forgery and false claim to Nevada citizenship.

The Court finds that no Rule 59 motion can appropriately be filed from this Court's December 16, 2009 order because Rule 59(a)(1) states that the ground for new trial are to be asserted after a jury trial or a non-jury trial. Altering or amending a judgment under Rule

Case 2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 33 of 36 PageID #: 28887

		Case 2:09-cv-00142-SRB Document 91 Filed 03/12/10 Page 7 of 7
	1	59(e) is not the same as vacating a judgment. Plaintiffs' motion is either an untimely Motion
	2	for Reconsideration or a Rule 60 Motion for Relief From Judgment or Order. In either case,
	3	Plaintiffs have not satisfied the requirements for relief under either Rule 60 or LRCiv 7.2(g).
	4	For the reasons outlined in Defendant's Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion,
	5	which have not been controverted either legally or factually in a reply,
	6	IT IS ORDERED denying Plaintiffs' Motion for New Trial and/or to Alter/Vacate
	7	Order Dated December 16, 2009. (Doc. 88).
Œ.	8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED overruling the objections to the proposed form of
	9	judgment and ordering that the proposed form of judgment (doc. 87) be entered by the Clerk.
	10	
	11	DATED this 12 th day of March, 2010.
	12	e de la companya de l
	13	
	14	
	15	Susan Colton
	16	Susan R. Bolton United States District Judge
į	17	
ater Jowdy <i>confessed</i> to all of the loans in his 2-day		
cosition contradicting every underlying reason for the liscovery " and then one year later (Dec 2010), admitted		

Two weeks later -- Jowdy **confessed** to all of the loans in his 2-day California deposition -- contradicting every underlying reason for the "**expedited discovery**" -- and then one year later (Dec 2010), admitted the actual loan document (*claimed as a forgery in the AZ case*) as authentic in his Nevada trial defense as the key evidence to support his defense theory (**which he lost resoundingly**)...

AGREEMENT

AGREEMENT, dated as of March , 2003, among BAJA DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation ("Developer"), BAJA MANAGEMENT, LLC, a New York limited liability company (the "Managing Member"), each of which has its principal offices at 2 Dogwood Drive, Danbury, Connecticut 06811 and the person whose name and address are set forth at the end of this Agreement ("Lender").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, an affiliate of Developer, LOR Management, S.A. de C.V., a Mexican corporation, has acquired the rights to a leasehold interest in and an option to purchase approximately 8,000 acres of undeveloped land, including nearly three miles of direct ocean frontage on the Pacific Ocean, in El Rosario, Baja California, Mexico (the "Property");

WHEREAS, the Managing Member has organized Diamante del Mar, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the "Company");

WHEREAS, the Company plans to develop a portion of the Property into Diamante del Mar, an exclusive, private golf community and resort with as many as three golf courses, tennis courts and other recreational facilities, a golf club, fitness center, spa, lodge with apartments, recreational lake, marina and residential villas (the "Resort");

WHEREAS, the Managing Member has prepared an Offering Memorandum for use in raising \$7,500,000 to \$10,000,000 to finance the predevelopment activities of the Managing Member's current development plan for the Resort (the "Offering"), and has provided a copy of such Offering Memorandum to Lender;

WHEREAS, Developer has required funds to finance certain land acquisition costs, consultant studies, tests, preliminary golf course designs and master site plan expenses prior to the first closing of the Offering referred to above, as well as to fund certain other projects of the Developer,

WHEREAS, Lender has loaned \$500,000 to Developer to finance a portion of such expenses (the "Loan"), and Lender wishes to participate in the development of the Resort and the Property on the terms and conditions set forth below; and

WHEREAS, Developer has executed and delivered to Lender a five-year promissory note payable to the order of Lender in the principal amount of the Loan, bearing interest at the rate of 3.75% per annum, with principal and interest payable in full on maturity (the "Note", a copy of which is attached to this Agreement);

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:

NEWYORK,418536.3

- 1. At the Managing Member's request, Lender shall assign the Note to, and contribute the Note to the capital of, the Managing Member in consideration of Lender's admission to the Managing Member as a Class A member and the issuance to Lender of a Class A membership interest in the Managing Member. The Lender's initial capital account in the Managing Member shall be equal to the principal amount of the Note. The Lender shall thereupon execute the Operating Agreement of the Managing Member (the "Operating Agreement"). The Managing Member will then assign the Note to, and contribute the Note to the capital of, the Company.
- 2. If the development of the Resort is successful, it is expected that the Company will make cash distributions from time to time to its members, including the Managing Member. The Managing Member, in turn, will distribute any such cash received from the Company, net of expenses and reasonable reserves for expenses, to its members, including Lender, as provided in the Operating Agreement.
- 3. On the fifth anniversary date of the Note, Developer shall pay to Lender an amount equal to the original principal amount of the Note, plus all accrued interest thereon, less the amount of all cash distributions made to Lender by the Managing Member prior to such date and the amount of any interest paid by the Company on account of any Private Residence Club Certificate or Home Site Certificate distributed to Lender by the Managing Member. Developer shall not be obligated to make any payments with respect to the Note prior to such fifth anniversary.
- 4. This Agreement sets forth the entire understanding of the parties with respect to its subject matter and merges and supersedes all prior agreement, instruments and understandings (including any prior promissory notes) of the parties with respect to its subject matter. No provision of this Agreement may be waived or modified, in whole or in part, except by a writing signed by each of the parties. Failure of any party to enforce any provision of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver of its rights under such or any other provision. No waiver of any provision of this Agreement in any instance shall be deemed to be a waiver of the same or any other provision in any other instance.

Case 2:13-cr-00607-JFB-AYS Document 903-49 Filed 09/09/20 Page 36 of 36 PageID #: 28890

03/17/2010 11:55

1-212-683-6560

HARVEY&HACKETT

PAGE 16

5. This Agreement shall in all respects be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York applicable to agreements made and fully to be performed in such state, without giving effect to conflicts of law principles.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Agreement as of the date first set forth above.

BAJA DBVELOPMENT CORPORATION
By Repneth A. Jowdy, President
BAJA MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC
By Kenneth A. Jowdy, President
, <i>V</i>
Lender:
Signature
DIMITEI KHRISTICH
Print Name
Address